Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Partial Differential Equations in Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/padiff



On trilinear and quadrilinear equations associated with the lattice Gel'fand–Dikii hierarchy

ABSTRACT

P.H. van der Kamp^{a,*}, F.W. Nijhoff^b, D.I. McLaren^a, G.R.W. Quispel^a

^a Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, La Trobe University, Victoria 3086, Australia
^b School of Mathematics, University of Leeds, UK

ARTICLE INFO

f Introduced in Zhang et al. (2012), the trilinear Boussiness equation is the natural form of the equation for the

To celebrate 120 years from the day of birth of A. Kolmogorov

Keywords: Integrable Duality Trilinear and quadrilinear recurrences Lattice equations Boussinesq Gel'fand–Dikii AKP Conservation law Periodic reduction Laurent property Integer sequence

1. Introduction

Lattice versions of the Boussinesq (BSQ) equations were first introduced in Ref. 1. They can be written as discrete equations on a regular 2D lattice with a 9-point stencil, or alternatively as multi-component quad equations, cf. Refs. 2, 3, cf. also Ref. 4. Some of the 3-component systems given in Ref. 4 were generalised in Ref. 5, leading to some interesting parameter extensions, referred to here as 'extended BSQ systems', cf. also Ref. 6. In Ref. 7 the various classes of extended systems from Ref. 5 were identified within the framework of 'direct linearisation' scheme, which allows one not only to find the interrelations between the various BSQ systems, but also to construct their Lax pairs and explicit solutions. Alongside, a novel trilinear equation was found in Ref. 7 involving the BSQ τ -function. It is the latter equation that forms the focus of attention in the present paper.

This trilinear Boussinesq equation is defined on a 9-point stencil and adopts the most general form

$$\left(A\widehat{\tau}\underline{\tau} + B\widetilde{\tau}\underline{\tau} + C\widetilde{\tau}\underline{\underline{\tau}}\right)\tau + D\left(\underline{\hat{\tau}}\widetilde{\tau}\underline{\tau} + \underline{\tilde{\tau}}\hat{\tau}\underline{\underline{\tau}}\right) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

for a function $\tau = \tau(n, m)$ of discrete variables *n*, *m*, and where the ~ and ^ denote elementary shifts on the lattice labelled by these discrete

their Laurent property. Furthermore, we consider a higher Gel'fand–Dikii lattice system, its periodic reductions and Laurent property. As a special application, from both a trilinear Boussinesq recurrence as well as a higher Gel'fand–Dikii system of three bilinear recurrences, we establish Somos-like integer sequences.

 τ -function of the lattice Boussinesq system. In this paper we study various aspects of this equation: its highly nontrivial derivation from the bilinear lattice AKP equation under dimensional reduction, a quadrilinear dual

lattice equation, conservation laws, and periodic reductions leading to higher-dimensional integrable maps and

variables, i.e. $\tilde{\tau} = \tau(n + 1, m)$, $\hat{\tau} = \tau(n, m + 1)$, while the underaccents denote the corresponding reverse shifts, i.e. $\underline{\tau} = \tau(n - 1, m)$, $\underline{\tau} = \tau(n, m - 1)$. In Ref. 7, Equation (71), the parameters *A*, *B*, *C*, *D* were identified as $A = 3p^2 - 2\alpha_2p + \alpha_1$, $B = 3q^2 - 2\alpha_2q + \alpha_1$, $C = -(p - q)^2$ and $D = \alpha_2(p + q) - (p^2 + pq + q^2) - \alpha_1$, in which case the solution structure was exhibited in the context of the direct linearisation approach, which allows the construction of explicit soliton and inverse scattering type solutions. Elliptic solutions, for an elliptic parametrisation of coefficients,⁸, Equation (5.29) were given recently in Ref. 8.

In this paper, we will study further the trilinear BSQ (1.1) with general coefficients. In Section 2, we show how it is obtained as a dimensional reduction from the bilinear AKP equation (also known as Hirota–Miwa equation), which unlike the reduction from AKP to the bilinear Hirota form of the lattice Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation is quite subtle. However, it provides a window on how to perform higher-order reductions to multilinear equations associated with the lattice Gel'fand–Dikii (GD) hierarchy of Ref. 1. In Section 3, we derive conservation laws from three of the four conservation laws for the AKP equation that were given in Ref. 9. The characteristics of these conservation laws, give rise to a quadrilinear dual equation, cf. Refs. 9,

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* P.vanderKamp@LaTrobe.edu.au (P.H. van der Kamp).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.padiff.2024.100913

Received 15 July 2024; Accepted 7 September 2024 Available online 12 September 2024

2666-8181/© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

10, which corresponds to a higher analogue of the discrete time Toda (HADT) equation, which was derived in the framework of orthogonal polynomials in two variables related via an elliptic curve, cf. Ref. 11. In Section 4, we show how to construct initial value problems for the trilinear BSQ equation, based on Ref. 12, and we provide an example of a (2,1)-periodic reduction. We show that the growth of the mapping is quadratic and prove that it possesses the Laurent property, and therefore gives rise to (Somos-like) integer sequences. We also show how the conservation laws for the lattice trilinear BSQ give rise to integrals for the reduction. In Section 5 we consider a higher lattice Gel'fand–Dikii system. We show how to construct straight-band initial value problems in any direction, and provide explicitly the (2,1)-periodic reduction which is a system of 3 recurrences (of degrees 4,3,3) and equivalent to a 10-dimensional map. We prove that this map also has the Laurent property and therefore is able to generate coupled integer sequences.

2. Trilinear BSQ as reduction from lattice AKP

We will show how to derive the trilinear BSQ equation by dimensional reduction from the bilinear AKP equation,

$$(p-q)\hat{\vec{\tau}}\,\vec{\tau} + (q-r)\hat{\vec{\tau}}\,\vec{\tau} + (r-p)\hat{\vec{\tau}}\,\hat{\vec{\tau}} = 0 , \qquad (2.1)$$

where p, q, r are three lattice parameters associated with three different lattice shift operators T_p , T_q , T_r respectively in a three-dimensional lattice on which the function $\tau = \tau(n, m, h)$ is defined, i.e. $\tilde{\tau} = T_p \tau = \tau(n + 1, m, h)$, $\hat{\tau} = T_q \tau = \tau(n, m + 1, h)$ and $\bar{\tau} = T_r \tau = \tau(n, m, h + 1)$. Eq. (2.1) actually represents an infinite parameter-family of compatible equations, each of which lives on a 3-dimensional octahedral sublattice of the infinite-dimensional lattice comprising independent lattice shifts for each value of p, q, r, cf. e.g. Refs. 13, 14. The 3D consistency is also an important instrument in the reduction procedure to 2-dimensional lattice equations.

We will be looking at periodic reductions under multiple shifts of the τ -function of (2.1). The first such reduction (the case N = 2 in the framework of Ref. 1) is obtained by imposing

$$T_{-p} \circ T_p \tau = \tau \tag{2.2}$$

for each lattice direction associated with any chosen parameter *p*. In other words, $T_{-p} = T_p^{-1}$ represents the opposite, or reverse lattice shift. It can be show that the condition (2.2) leads to a reduction to the bilinear form of the lattice KdV equation. In fact, setting r = -p and r = -q in (2.1) and identifying the $\overline{\tau}$ with $T_p^{-1}\tau = \underline{\tau}$ and $T_q^{-1}\tau = \underline{\tau}$ respectively, which are the reverse shifts to the shifts $\widetilde{\tau}$ and $\widehat{\tau}$, we get the two 6-point bilinear lattice equations

$$(p-q)\hat{\tau}\,\underline{\tau} + (q+p)\hat{\underline{\tau}}\,\hat{\tau} = 2p\tau\,\hat{\tau}\,, \qquad (2.3a)$$

$$(q-p)\hat{\tau}\,\tau + (q+p)\hat{\tau}\,\tilde{\tau} = 2q\tau\,\tilde{\tau}\,, \qquad (2.3b)$$

which are compatible, cf. Refs. 6, 15, and constitute the bilinear Hirota form of the lattice KdV equation.

In contrast to the reduction from lattice AKP to lattice KdV, the reduction from lattice AKP to the lattice BSQ equation (the case N = 3 in the framework of Ref. 1) is much more subtle, and is obtained by imposing instead of (2.2) the following condition:

$$T_{\omega^2 p} \circ T_{\omega p} \circ T_p \tau = \tau , \qquad (2.4)$$

(and similarly for the *q*-shifts) where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ is the cube root of unity, and which implies that there is a three-fold reversion of each lattice shift. We will show that (2.4) leads to a reduction to the trilinear BSQ lattice equation of Ref. 7.

The way to do the analysis is by first concentrating on the *p*-shifts and setting in (2.1) $r = \omega p$ and $r = \omega^2 p$ respectively (obviously, a similar analysis can subsequently be done for the *q*-shift leading to complementary conditions on the reduction). Thus, let us identify the shift $T_{\omega p} \tau =: \overline{\tau}$, which implies that $T_{\omega^2 p} \tau = \underline{\tau}$. This leads to the following set of equations

$$(p-q)\hat{\tau}\bar{\tau} + (q-\omega p)\hat{\bar{\tau}}\bar{\tau} + (\omega-1)p\tilde{\tau}\hat{\bar{\tau}}, \qquad (2.5a)$$

$$(p-q)\hat{\widetilde{\tau}}_{\widetilde{\tau}} + (q-\omega^2 p)\hat{\widetilde{\tau}}^{\widetilde{\tau}} + (\omega^2 - 1)p\tau\hat{\overline{\tau}} .$$
(2.5b)

The aim is now to eliminate the 'alien shift' $\overline{\tau} =: \varphi$ from this system of equations. This can be achieved as follows. First, let us rewrite (2.5) as a linear system for φ :

$$A\varphi + B\hat{\varphi} + C\tilde{\varphi} = 0 , \qquad (2.6a)$$

$$D\widetilde{\phi} + E\widehat{\phi} + F\widetilde{\phi} = 0 , \qquad (2.6b)$$

in which the coefficients are given by ^a

$$A = (p - q)\tilde{\tau} , \quad B = (q - \omega p)\tilde{\tau} , \quad C = (\omega - 1)p\hat{\tau} ,$$
$$D = (p - q)\tau , \quad E = (\omega^2 - 1)p\tau , \quad F = (q - \omega^2 p)\hat{\tau} , \quad (2.7)$$

and shift (2.6a) and (2.6b) in the $\hat{}$ and $\hat{}$ directions, while backsubstituting $\hat{\varphi}$. This will give the relations

$$\left(\hat{A} - \frac{\hat{C}E}{D}\right)\hat{\varphi} + \hat{B}\hat{\hat{\varphi}} = \frac{\hat{C}F}{D}\tilde{\varphi} , \qquad (2.8a)$$

$$\left(\widetilde{A} - \frac{\widetilde{B}F}{D}\right)\widetilde{\varphi} + \widetilde{C}\widetilde{\widetilde{\varphi}} = \frac{\widetilde{B}E}{D}\widehat{\varphi} , \qquad (2.8b)$$

respectively. Next, we need to get rid of the double-shifted objects $\tilde{\phi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$. This can be done by applying a $\hat{\phi}$ shift on (2.8b) and use (2.6b) to rewrite $\tilde{\phi}$, and subsequently back-substituting $\hat{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ which are obtained from (2.8a) and (2.8b) respectively. This leads to the following complicated relation

$$\begin{split} & \left(\widehat{\widetilde{A}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{F}}{\widehat{D}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widehat{E}}{\widetilde{D}}\right) \frac{E\widehat{\varphi} + F\widetilde{\varphi}}{D} + \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{F}}{\widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}} \left[\frac{\widetilde{B}E}{D}\widehat{\varphi} - \left(\widetilde{A} - \frac{\widetilde{B}F}{D}\right)\widetilde{\varphi}\right] \\ & + \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{E}}{\widehat{B}\widehat{D}} \left[\frac{\widehat{C}F}{D}\widetilde{\varphi} - \left(\widehat{A} - \frac{\widehat{C}E}{D}\right)\widehat{\varphi}\right] = 0 \;, \end{split}$$

which only involves $\hat{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$. Assuming that these functions are independent, we can split the above relation into two, namely

$$\begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\widetilde{A}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{F}}{\widehat{D}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{E}}{\widetilde{D}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{E}{D} + \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{F}}{\widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}} \frac{\widetilde{B}E}{D} = \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{E}}{\widehat{B}\widehat{D}} \left(\widehat{A} - \frac{\widehat{C}E}{D} \right) , \\ \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{\widetilde{A}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{F}}{\widehat{D}} - \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{F}}{\widetilde{D}} \end{pmatrix} \frac{F}{D} + \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{B}}\widehat{E}}{\widehat{B}\widehat{D}} \frac{\widehat{C}F}{D} = \frac{\widehat{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{F}}{\widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}} \left(\widetilde{A} - \frac{\widetilde{B}F}{D} \right) ,$$

which can be simplified to the following two relations:

$$\frac{\widetilde{\widetilde{C}}\widetilde{F}}{\widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}}\frac{\widetilde{A}}{F} = \frac{\widetilde{B}\widehat{E}}{\widehat{B}\widehat{D}}\frac{\widehat{A}}{E} , \qquad (2.9a)$$

$$\hat{\widetilde{A}} - \frac{\hat{\widetilde{B}}\hat{F}}{\hat{D}} - \frac{\hat{\widetilde{C}}\tilde{E}}{\tilde{D}} + \frac{\hat{\widetilde{B}}\hat{E}\hat{C}}{\hat{B}\hat{D}} = \frac{\hat{\widetilde{C}}\tilde{F}}{\tilde{C}\tilde{D}} \left(\frac{\tilde{A}D}{F} - \tilde{B}\right) .$$
(2.9b)

The first of these relations is trivially satisfied upon inserting the explicit coefficients (2.7), whilst the second relation yields

$$(p-q)^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} - (p^{2} + pq + q^{2})^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} - 3p^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}}$$

+ $3p^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} = (p-q)^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} - (p^{2} + pq + q^{2})^{2} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} \hat{\overline{\tau}} .$ (2.10)

Setting by definition

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &:= (p-q)^2 \widehat{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} + (p^2 + pq + q^2) \left(\underline{\widetilde{\tau}} \tau \underline{\tau} + \widetilde{\tau} \tau \underline{\widetilde{\tau}} \right) - 3p^2 \widehat{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} - 3q^2 \widetilde{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} , \\ \text{we can rewrite (2.10) simply as} \end{split}$$

 $\label{eq:Gamma} \varGamma = \frac{\widetilde{\tau}}{\frac{\tau}{\widetilde{z}}} \varGamma \ ,$

^a These coefficients are generalised to the elliptic case in Ref. 8.

which can be integrated as

$$\Gamma = \gamma \tilde{\tau} \tau \tau , \qquad (2.11)$$

where γ is independent of the \sim shift, i.e., $\tilde{\gamma} = \gamma$. Recalling that the entire analysis so far was only taking into account the relations (2.5) where we chose $r = \omega p$ and $r = \omega^2 p$ in (2.1). Obviously, we can redo the entire analysis by choosing $r = \omega q$ and $r = \omega^2 q$, in which case, in addition to the above form for Γ we get

$$\Gamma = \gamma' \hat{\tau} \tau \tau$$

where γ' is independent of the ^ shift. Generically both forms for Γ can only hold true if $\gamma = \gamma' = 0$, and this yields $\Gamma = 0$ which is the trilinear BSQ equation.

Generalisation to the extended BSQ case

Instead of ω being a cube root of unity, the extended BSQ cases studied in Ref. 7 generalise the dispersion curve for the BSQ systems, i.e. the cusp cubic $k^3 = p^3$, to a dispersion relation of the form^b

$$\omega^3 + \alpha \omega^2 + \beta \omega = p^3 + \alpha p^2 + \beta p , \qquad (2.12)$$

with roots p, $\omega_1(p)$ and $\omega_2(p)$, and where α and β are some fixed (constant) parameters. Setting now $r = \omega_1(p)$ and $r = \omega_2(p)$ in (2.1) the whole analysis above goes through unaltered up to (2.10), but where the coefficients A, \ldots, E are changed into

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (p-q)\widehat{\tau} , \quad B = (q-\omega_1(p))\overline{\tau} , \quad C = (\omega_1(p)-p)\widehat{\tau} , \\ D &= (p-q)\underline{\tau} , \quad E = (\omega_2(p)-p)\tau , \quad F = (q-\omega_2(p))\underline{\hat{\tau}} . \end{aligned}$$
(2.13)

This change, while leaving (2.9a) still trivially satisfied, leads to some changes in the evaluation of (2.9b). In fact, from the dispersion relation (2.12) we can easily deduce that the following relations hold between the roots $\omega_1(p)$ and $\omega_2(p)$, namely

$$\omega_1(p) + \omega_2(p) + p = -\alpha , \quad \omega_1(p)\omega_2(p) = p^2 + \alpha p + \beta ,$$

which leads to the following extended form of the Γ object:

$$\begin{split} \Gamma &:= (p-q)^2 \widehat{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} + (p^2 + pq + q^2 + \alpha(p+q) + \beta) \left(\widehat{\tau} \widetilde{\tau} \tau + \widetilde{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \underline{\tau} \right) \\ &- (3p^2 + 2\alpha p + \beta) \widehat{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} - (3q^2 + 2\alpha q + \beta) \widetilde{\tau} \tau \underline{\tau} \;. \end{split}$$

The extended trilinear BSQ equation $\Gamma = 0$ is the same as Eq. (1.1) with the given coefficients Ref. 7, Equation (71). In terms of a = p - q, $b = q - \omega_1(p)$, and $c = q - \omega_2(p)$ it reads

$$a^{2}\widetilde{\tau}\tau\underline{\tau} + bc\left(\widehat{\tau}\tau\underline{\tau} + \widetilde{\tau}\tau\underline{\tau}\right) - (a+b)(a+c)\widehat{\tau}\tau\underline{\tau} + (ab+ac-bc)\widetilde{\tau}\tau\underline{\tau} = 0,$$

although from this form it is not immediately evident that the equation is symmetric under the interchange of *p* and *q* and of the corresponding lattice shifts (this follows from the nature of the roots $\omega_i(p)$). We note that in Ref. 8 elliptic solutions of the trilinear BSQ (and other lattice BSQ equations), were constructed, which involve a parametrisation in terms of elliptic functions as coefficients, including an 'elliptic cube root of unity'. The latter forms another deformation of the pure cusp cubic BSQ lattice.

Higher Gel'fand-Dikii multilinear system

We can extend the methods of this section to obtain higher Gel'fand– Dikii (GD) multilinear lattice equations by dimensional reduction. The first next higher system (the case N = 4 in the framework of Ref. 1) is obtained by a four-fold reduction constraint

$$T_{\omega_{3}(p)} \circ T_{\omega_{2}(p)} \circ T_{\omega_{1}(p)} \circ T_{p}\tau = \tau , \qquad (2.14)$$

for each lattice direction labelled by the lattice parameter *p*, and where ω_i , *i* = 1, 2, 3, are the roots of the quartic polynomial dispersion curve

$$\omega^4 + \alpha \omega^3 + \beta \omega^2 + \gamma \omega = p^4 + \alpha p^3 + \beta p^2 + \gamma p , \qquad (2.15)$$

with constants α , β and γ . Following the same procedure as before, setting subsequently $r = \omega_1(p)$, $r = \omega_2(p)$ and $r = \omega_3(p)$ in (2.1) with the various 'alien' lattice shifted objects, it is now convenient to choose $\varphi := T_{\omega_1(p)}^{-1}\tau$, $\psi := T_{\omega_2(p)}\tau$, in which case we get the following coupled system

$$(p-q)\tau\widehat{\phi} + (q-\omega_1(p))\widehat{\tau}\widetilde{\phi} + (\omega_1(p)-p)\widetilde{\tau}\widehat{\phi} = 0, \qquad (2.16a)$$

$$(p-q)\widehat{\tau}\psi + (q-\omega_2(p))\widetilde{\tau}\psi + (\omega_2(p)-p)\widehat{\tau}\widetilde{\psi} = 0, \qquad (2.16b)$$

$$(p-q)\tilde{\varphi}\hat{\tilde{\psi}} + (q-\omega_3(p))\hat{\varphi}\tilde{\psi} + (\omega_3(p)-p)\varphi\hat{\psi} = 0, \qquad (2.16c)$$

where the first two equations are linear, in φ and ψ respectively, but where the last equation couples the other ones. Because of the nonlinearity of (2.16c), the analysis is distinctly more complicated in this case, however, we note that the two Eqs. (2.16b) and (2.16c) exhibit the same structure as the system comprising (2.6a) and (2.6b) of the trilinear case, but now for the function ψ . Thus, we can use the exact same procedure as in the trilinear case to eliminate ψ , i.e. use the Eqs. (2.9), where now the coefficients A, \ldots, F are identified as

$$A = (p - q)\hat{\tau} , \quad B = (q - \omega_2(p))\tilde{\tau} , \quad C = (\omega_2(p) - p)\hat{\tau} ,$$

$$D = (p - q)\varphi , \quad E = (\omega_3(p) - p)\varphi , \quad F = (q - \omega_3(p))\hat{\varphi} .$$
(2.17)

Once again, the relation (2.9a) is trivially satisfied with these coefficients, while (2.9b) gives rise to the following bilinear equation in both τ and φ , namely

$$(p-q)^{2} \left(\hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \, \varphi \, \hat{\varphi} - \hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \varphi \, \hat{\varphi} \right) + (3p^{2} + 2p(\alpha + \omega_{1}(p)) + \omega_{1}^{2}(p) + \beta) \\ \times \left(\hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \, \hat{\varphi} \, \varphi - \hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \, \varphi \, \hat{\tilde{\varphi}} \right) \\ + (p^{2} + pq + q^{2} + (\alpha + \omega_{1}(p))(p+q) + \omega_{1}^{2}(p) + \beta) \left(\tilde{\tilde{\tau}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \, \hat{\varphi} \, \hat{\varphi} - \hat{\tilde{\tilde{\tau}}} \, \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \, \varphi \, \hat{\tilde{\varphi}} \right) = 0.$$

$$(2.18)$$

Thus we get a coupled system for φ comprising the linear equation (2.16a) together with the bilinear equation (2.18), from which we would still like to eliminate φ . However, we will refrain from that particular computation for the present paper^e. We just mention here, without proof, that from the direct linearisation method designed in Ref. 7, and elaborated in Ref. 17 for the case N = 4, the following 10-term 16-point sextic equation for the τ -, φ - and ψ -functions can be derived:

$$(p-q)^{3} \tilde{\tau}\hat{\tau} \left(\tau \tilde{\chi} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} - \tau \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \right) = (4p^{3} + 3\alpha p^{2} + 2\beta p + \gamma)\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau} \left(\tau \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} - \tilde{\chi} \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \right) - (4q^{3} + 3\alpha q^{2} + 2\beta q + \gamma)\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau} \left(\tau \tau \tilde{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} - \tau \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \right) + \left[p^{3} + p^{2} q + pq^{2} + q^{3} + \gamma \right] + \alpha(p^{2} + pq + q^{2}) + \beta(p+q) \\ \times \left[\tau \hat{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \left(\tau \tilde{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} + \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \right) - \tau \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \left(\tau \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} + \tilde{\tilde{\tau}} \tilde{\tau} \hat{\tilde{\tau}} \right) \right],$$

$$(2.19)$$

which was absent from Ref. 17. Due to its complicated structure we will for now abstain from doing further analysis on this equation (including the question of whether this equation can be seen as a consequence of a pair of 7-term 12-point quadrilinear equations that one would expect to govern the $N = 4 \tau$ -function structure).

^b In fact, (2.12) represents an unfolding of the singular dispersion curve given by the cusp cubic. As a consequence the corresponding solutions of the BSQ system in Ref. 16 possess a smoother behaviour than the 'pure BSQ' case given by the cube root of unity case.

^c Furthermore, there is a companion system with another function $\chi = T_{\omega_1(q)}^{-1}\tau$ where the root $\omega_1(q)$ appears (from the dispersion curve (2.15) with *p* replaced by *q*), and where the roles of *p* and *q* and the corresponding shifts \sim and \uparrow are reversed.

6

3. A dual to the trilinear Boussinesq equation, and a matrix conservation law

Taking D = 0 in the trilinear Boussinesq equation (1.1) and dividing by τ yields

$$A\hat{\tau}_{\tau} + B\tilde{\tau}_{\tau} + C\hat{\tilde{\tau}}_{\tau} = 0.$$
(3.1)

This 2D lattice equation can be obtained from the 3D AKP equation (2.1) by the following reduction:

$$\widetilde{\tau} \mapsto \underline{\tau}, \quad \widehat{\tau} \mapsto \widehat{\tau}, \quad \overline{\tau} \mapsto \widetilde{\tau},$$

$$(3.2)$$

whilst setting A = r - p, B = p - q, C = q - r. Thus, if $\tau(k, l, m)$ satisfies (2.1) then $\tau(m - k, l - k)$ satisfies (3.1).

In Ref. 9, the following dual to the AKP equation (2.1) was derived,

$$\begin{aligned} u_1\left(\hat{\vec{t}}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}} - \tau\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\right) + a_2\left(\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\tilde{\vec{t}} - \tau\tilde{\vec{t}}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\right) + a_3\left(\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\tilde{\vec{t}} - \tau\tilde{\vec{t}}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\right) \\ &+ a_4\left(\tau\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}} - \tau\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{t}}\right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(3.3)

employing the characteristics (denoted $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \Lambda_3, \Lambda_7$ in Ref. 18),

$$W = \left(\frac{\hat{\overline{\tau}}}{\hat{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\tau}\bar{\overline{\tau}}} - \frac{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\tilde{\overline{\tau}}}, \frac{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\bar{\overline{\tau}}\bar{\overline{\tau}}} - \frac{\hat{\overline{\tau}}}{\hat{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}}, \frac{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}} - \frac{\bar{\overline{\tau}}}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}}, \frac{\tau}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}\bar{\overline{\tau}}} - \frac{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}}{\tilde{\overline{\tau}}\hat{\overline{\tau}}\bar{\overline{\tau}}}\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Reductions of the dual Eq. (3.3) include Rutishauser's quotientdifference (QD) algorithm, the higher analogue of the discrete time Toda (HADT) equation and its corresponding quotient-quotientdifference (QQD) system, the discrete hungry Lotka–Volterra system, discrete hungry QD, as well as the hungry forms of HADT and QQD. In Ref. 9, it was conjectured that (3.3) has the Laurent property, vanishing algebraic entropy and that it admits N-soliton solutions. The latter was established in Ref. 19 by relating it to a 14-point equation found by King and Schief,²⁰ which itself is a consequence of the lattice BKP equation (also known as the Miwa equation). From three of the four characteristics (3.4), we obtain three characteristics for (1.1). As we shall see, W_1 does not provide us with a characteristic for (1.1). Applying the reduction (3.2) to (3.4), and dividing by $-\tau$ gives us

$$X = \left(\frac{\frac{\tau}{\tilde{z}}}{\tau \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau}}_{\tau} - \frac{\hat{\tilde{z}}}{\tau \overline{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \widehat{\tau}}, \frac{\hat{\tau}}{\tau \tau \underline{\tau} \widehat{\tau}} - \frac{\tau}{\tau \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \underline{\tau}}, \frac{\widetilde{\tau}}{\tau \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \overline{\tau}} - \frac{\tau}{\tau \underline{\tau} \overline{\tau} \widehat{\tau} \overline{\tau}}, \frac{1}{\tau \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \widehat{\tau}} - \frac{1}{\hat{\tau} \overline{\tau} \underline{\tau} \underline{\tau}}\right)$$

which are four characteristics for Eq. (1.1) when D = 0, i.e. $\tau(A\hat{\tau}\tau + B\tilde{\tau}\tau + C\hat{\tau}\tau) = 0$. The question is whether they are also characteristics for the remaining term of (1.1), $T = D(\hat{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tau + \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tau)$. One can verify whether an expression $Z[\tau]$ is a divergence, by checking whether it is in kernel of the discrete Euler operator, i.e. whether

$$E(Z) = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial Z[\tau]}{\partial \sigma(\tau)} \right) = 0,$$

where the sum is over all applicable shifts on the lattice, cf. Ref. 21. Surprisingly, $E(TX_i) = 0$ for i = 2, 3, 4. Thus, by taking a linear combination, and multiplying by the common denominator we obtain a quadrilinear dual equation,

$$x(\hat{\tau}_{\vec{x}}\tilde{\vec{\tau}} - \tau\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\vec{\tau}}_{\vec{x}}) + y(\tau\tilde{\tau}_{\vec{x}}\tilde{\vec{\tau}} - \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}_{\vec{x}}\tau) + z(\tau\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}_{\vec{x}} - \tau_{\vec{x}}\tilde{\vec{\tau}}_{\vec{x}}) = 0.$$
(3.5)

Comparing the stencil on which Eq. (3.5) is defined, depicted in Fig. 1, with the stencil of the HADT equation, cf. Ref. 11, Figure 1, it is clear which transformation on the independent variables could relate the two equations. Indeed, if $\sigma(l, k)$ satisfies the HADT equation

$$\widetilde{g}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \widehat{g} \left(\varphi \widehat{\hat{\sigma}} - \sigma \widehat{\sigma} \right) = \varphi \widehat{\hat{g}} \left(\widehat{g}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\sigma}} - \sigma \widetilde{g} \right) + \widehat{\sigma} \widetilde{g} \left(- \widehat{\hat{g}}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\sigma}} + \widehat{g}_{\hat{\alpha}}^{\hat{\sigma}} \right),$$

then $\tau(l, k) = \sigma(l, -l - k)$ satisfies Eq. (3.5), with x = y = 1, z = -1.

A matrix conservation law is given by

$$\tilde{P} - P + \hat{Q} - Q = V^T U, \qquad (3.6)$$



Fig. 1. The stencil of the dual Eq. (3.5).

where P, Q are the 4 \times 3 matrices

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tilde{x}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} + \frac{\tilde{x}\tilde{\tau}}{t t} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tau}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tau}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tau}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & -\frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & -\frac{\tau \tau}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & -\frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{t}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau \tau} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{t}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} & \frac{\tau \tilde{\tau}}{\tau t} \\ \frac{\tilde{\tau}}{\tau \tau t$$

 $U = (X_2, X_3, X_4)$ and V^T denotes the transpose of $V = (\hat{\tau} \tau \tau, \tilde{\tau} \tau \tau, \hat{\tau} \tau \tau, \hat{\tau} \tau \tau, \hat{\tau} \tau, \hat{\tau}, \hat{\tau},$

In Ref. 9 it was conjectured that reductions of (3.3), such as Eq. (3.5), possess the Laurent property, and have vanishing algebraic entropy. It seems that this also holds true for the trilinear Boussinesq equation itself!

4. Periodic reduction, growth and laurentness of trilinear BSQ, integrals from conservation laws

A periodic reduction of a lattice equation is a mapping, whose iterates provide a periodic solution. Geometrically, one rolls up the lattice to form a cylinder, cf. Ref. 22, Figure 1. Algebraically, one chooses a vector $\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, compatible with the lattice equation, and imposes the periodicity condition $\tau(l, k) = \tau((l, k) + \mathbf{s})$.

How to pose initial values problems (IVPs, Cauchy problems) for lattice equations, on arbitrary stencils, was described in Ref. 12. We summarise the construction and apply it to the trilinear BSQ.

For a given stencil S, one defines the S-directions as the directions of the edges of the convex hull of S. For each s whose direction is not an S-direction^d the s-periodic reduction is a mapping of dimension

$$D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) := \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{d} \end{pmatrix} \right|,$$

where **d** is the difference between two points $p_1 \in l_1, p_2 \in l_2$ on two lines l_1, l_2 with direction $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ which squeeze the stencil. The mapping corresponds to a shift on the lattice $\mathbf{a} \rightarrow \mathbf{c}$, where **c** is (0, 1) or (1, 0) or the unique lattice point inside the parallelogram spanned by $\hat{\mathbf{s}}$ and (1, 0) such that $D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{c}) = 1$.

For a low-dimensional example we give a formula for its growth and prove that it has the Laurent property.

^d If the direction \hat{s} is an *S*-direction, then one has to augment the IVP with values in an additional direction. Such a problem is called a Goursat problem.



Fig. 2. The (2,1)-reduction of trilinear Boussinesq gives rise to a six-dimensional mapping.

(2,1)-reduction of trilinear BSQ

We squeeze the 9-point square stencil using two lines with direction $\mathbf{s} = (2, 1)$, see Fig. 2(a). The difference between the points where the lines touch the stencil is $\mathbf{d} = (2, -2)$, or alternatively one could e.g. choose points on the lines such that the difference is $\mathbf{d} = (0, 3)$. The dimension of the reduction is

$$D(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{d}) = \left| \det \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 \end{pmatrix} \right| = 6.$$

We take $\mathbf{c} = (1,0)$ (so that $D(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{c}) = 1$). Now we start labelling the initial values in steps of \mathbf{c} , whilst making horse-jumps (-s) at the right boundary of the stencil, see Fig. 2(b).

The mapping takes the form

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_7),$$
(4.1a)

where x_7 is determined by by taking n = 1 in the recursion

$$(Ax_{n+1}x_{n+5} + (B+C)x_{n+2}x_{n+4})x_{n+3} + D(x_nx_{n+4}x_{n+5} + x_{n+1}x_{n+2}x_{n+6}) = 0.$$
(4.1b)

As *C*, *D* can be absorbed into *A*, *B* we take, without loss of generality, C = 0, D = 1. Starting with initial values linear in a variable *z*, e.g. such as p = [3 - 2z, 15 - 4z, -5z + 1, 5 + 3z, 1 - z, 8 + 3z], the *z*-degree of the numerator of the last component of the *n*th iterate is given by

$$\frac{1}{4}\left(n^2+3n+1+(-1)^{\lfloor (n+2)/2\rfloor}\right),\,$$

at least for the first 16 iterates we computed.

If for all *n*, the denominator of the *n*th iterate of a map is a product of powers of the initial values, then the map is said to have the Laurent property. For the above map the denominators are monomials in the initial values (but not the first nor the last one). And this can be proven as follows.

Proposition 1. The mapping (4.1) has the Laurent property.

Proof. For general initial values, p_0, \ldots, p_5 we write the first 7 iterates as p_i/q_i , with $(p_i, q_i) = 1$ (co-prime), $i = 6, 7, \ldots, 12$. The first few numerators are

$$\begin{split} p_6 &= -p_0 p_4 p_5 - A p_1 p_3 p_5 - B p_2 p_3 p_4 \\ p_7 &= p_0 p_1 p_4 p_5^2 + A p_0 p_2 p_4^2 p_5 + A p_1^2 p_3 p_5^2 + A^2 p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_5 + A B p_2^2 p_3 p_4^2 \\ p_8 &= p_0^2 p_1 p_4 p_5^3 + A p_0^2 p_2 p_4^2 p_5^2 + A p_0 p_1^2 p_3 p_5^3 + (A^2 + 2B + 2C) p_0 p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 p_5^2 \\ &\quad + A B^2 p_2^2 p_3^2 p_4^2 \\ &\quad + 2A B p_0 p_2^2 p_3 p_4^2 p_5 + 2A B p_1^2 p_2 p_3^2 p_5^2 + B (A^2 + B + C) p_1 p_2^2 p_3^2 p_4 p_5 \\ p_9 &= -p_0^3 p_1 p_4^2 p_5^4 - A p_0^3 p_2 p_4^2 p_5^2 - 2A p_0^2 p_1^2 p_3 p_4 p_5^4 - (2A^2 + B + C) \\ &\quad \times p_0^2 p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4^2 p_5^2 - A^2 p_0 p_1^2 p_3^2 p_5^4 \\ &\quad - A B p_0^2 p_2^2 p_3 p_4^2 p_5^2 - A (A^2 + 2B + 2C) p_0 p_1^2 p_2 p_3^2 p_4 p_5^3 \end{split}$$

$$-A^{2}Bp_{0}p_{1}p_{2}^{2}p_{3}^{2}p_{4}^{2}p_{5}^{2} + AB^{3}p_{2}^{4}p_{3}^{3}p_{4}^{3}$$

+ $AB^{2}p_{0}p_{3}^{2}p_{3}^{2}p_{4}^{3}p_{5} - A^{2}Bp_{1}^{3}p_{2}p_{3}^{3}p_{5}^{3} + A^{2}B^{2}p_{1}p_{2}^{3}p_{3}^{3}p_{4}^{2}p_{5}$
:

and the denominators are

$$\begin{aligned} q_6 &= p_1 p_2, \ q_7 = p_1 p_2^2 p_3, \ q_8 = p_1^2 p_2^2 p_3^2, \ q_9 = p_1^2 p_2^4 p_3^2 p_4, \ q_{10} = p_1^3 p_2^5 p_3^4 p_4, \\ q_{11} &= p_1^4 p_2^6 p_3^5 p_4^2, \ q_{12} = p_1^5 p_2^8 p_3^6 p_4^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have verified that the q_i are monomials in p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 and that $(p_6, p_i) = 1$ for $i = 7, \ldots, 12$. This establishes the Laurent property, cf. Ref. 23, Theorem 2, that all iterates are Laurent polynomials in p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 . \Box

Hence, if one starts with initial values $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 1$, one obtains a polynomial (or integer) sequence. E.g. for A = -5, B = -3 and $p_0 = 4$, $p_5 = 2$, we obtain

(4.2)

which is an example of an integer sequence obtained from a trilinear recursion equation (4.1b). As pointed out by M. $Somos^{24}$, it also satisfies the bilinear recursion relation

$$p_{n+4}p_{n-3} = -3p_{n+3}p_{n-2} + 99p_{n+1}p_n.$$
(4.3)

A similar statement holds true for all values of *A*, *B*, $p_0 = a$, $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = 1$, $p_5 = b$. With extra initial value $p_6 = -b(A + a) - B$, the (polynomial) iterates of the map (4.1) are generated by

$$p_{n+4}p_{n-3} = Bp_{n+3}p_{n-2} + Yp_{n+1}p_n$$

where $Y = ab(A^2 + ab + B) + (a + b)(ab + B)A + B^2$. It remains an open question whether integer sequences arising from higher periodic reductions of the trilinear lattice equation Eq. (1.1) are all of Somos type (i.e., admit a bilinear recurrence).

Integrals from conservation laws

The initial values in Fig. 2(b) are labelled according to the values of a new variable, in terms of the lattice variables l, m the new variable is n = l - 2m. More generally, if s is in the first quadrant, and $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$, then the variable $n(l, m) = s_2l - s_1m$ has the properties that n((l, m) + ks) = n(l, m) and, with D(s, c) = 1, n((l, m) + c) = n(l, m) + 1.

A conservation law for a lattice equation

$$\widetilde{P} - P + \widehat{Q} - Q \equiv 0$$
 (modulo the equation)

reduces to

$$P_{n+s_2} - P_n + Q_{n-s_1} - Q_n \equiv 0 \qquad \text{(modulo the reduction)}, \tag{4.4}$$



Fig. 3. Stencils of the higher GD system, τ, φ, ψ are represented by \bullet, \circ, \times .

and this gives rise to an integral. When s is in the fourth quadrant, $s_1 > 0, s_2 < 0$, and $gcd(s_1, s_2) = 1$, we take $n(l, m) = |s_2|l + s_1m$, cf. Ref. 12, and then the conservation law reduces to

$$P_{n+|s_2|} - P_n + Q_{n+s_1} - Q_n \equiv 0 \qquad \text{(modulo the reduction)}. \tag{4.5}$$

Lemma 2. The expression $K_n = \sum_{i=0}^{s_2-1} P_{n+i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} Q_{n-j}$ does not depend on *n* if (4.4) holds. The expression $L_n = \sum_{i=0}^{|s_2|-1} P_{n+i} + \sum_{j=0}^{s_1-1} Q_{n+j}$ does not depend on *n* if (4.5) holds.

Proof. We have

$$K_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_2-1} P_{n+i+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} Q_{n-j+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_2-2} P_{n+i+1} - \sum_{j=2}^{s_1} Q_{n-(j-1)} + P_{n+s_2} - Q_n$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{s_2-1} P_{n+i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s_1-1} Q_{n-j} + P_n - Q_{n-s_1} = \sum_{i=0}^{s_2-1} P_{n+i} - \sum_{j=1}^{s_1} Q_{n-j} = K_n.$$

The proof for the second statement is similar. \Box

Considering the three conservation laws we obtain by premultiplying (3.6) with (A, B, 0, 1), and Lemma 2 to construct integrals for the mapping (4.1), the first conservation law yields a constant (a function of B only), the second gives an expression which depends on x_7 and x_8 so we rewrite the invariant function in terms of the initial values (and we have subtracted a constant), to get

$$(A^{2} + B) \frac{x_{1}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{4}} + AB \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{5}}{x_{2}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{2}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{5}} \right) + A \frac{x_{1}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{4}} \left(\frac{x_{5}x_{1}}{x_{2}x_{4}} + \frac{x_{2}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{5}} \right) + \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{6}}{x_{3}x_{4}} \right)^{2}.$$

$$(4.6)$$

The third conservation law directly yields

$$\frac{Bx_3x_4 + x_6x_1}{x_2x_5} - \frac{Ax_2x_4 + x_1x_5}{x_3^2} - \frac{Ax_3x_5 + x_2x_6}{x_4^2}.$$
(4.7)

In terms of variables $x_i = y_i y_{i+1}^{-2} y_{i+2}$ the integral (4.6) is polynomial

$$(A^{2} + B) y_{1}y_{2}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{4} + ABy_{2}y_{3} (y_{1} + y_{4}) + Ay_{1}y_{2}^{3}y_{3}^{3}y_{4} (y_{1} + y_{4}) + (y_{1}y_{2}^{2}y_{3}^{2}y_{4})^{2}$$

and (4.7) becomes

$$A(y_2+y_3)-\frac{B}{y_2y_3}+y_2y_3(y_1y_2-y_1y_4+y_3y_4),$$

whereas the mapping (4.1) reduces to

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \mapsto \left(y_2, y_3, y_4, -\frac{y_1 y_2^2 y_3^2 y_4 + A y_2 y_3 y_4 + B}{y_2 y_3^2 y_4^2}\right).$$
(4.8)

This mapping has quadratic growth, but it does not possess the Laurent property. In fact, the mapping (4.1) is the Laurentification of (4.8), cf. Refs. 23, 25.

5. Periodic reduction of a higher Gel'fand-Dikii multilinear system

How to algorithmically perform periodic reduction for systems of lattice equations in general is an open problem, cf. Refs. 11, 12. We provide the solution to this problem for the higher Gel'fand–Dikii (GD) multilinear system 2.16, which is defined on the stencils given in Fig. 3.

Proposition 3. A well-posed initial value (Cauchy) problem (IVP) with direction s > 0 can be obtained by squeezing the combined stencil in Fig. 4(a). For directions s with $s_1 > 0$, $s_2 < 0$, a well-posed IVP can be obtained by squeezing the combined stencil in Fig. 4(b).

Proof. As in the scalar case, to obtain straight band initial values, the combined stencil is squeezed by lines with direction s in the allowed region. Here we obtain three different bands, in which the initial values are given, one band for each variable. We need to show, for each combined stencil, that one can determine the value of each variable on the boundaries of its band, using one of the equations, in each direction. We start with the combined stencil in 4(a), solving for the variables on the boundaries on the right. In order, one first solves Eq. (2.16a) for φ , then Eq. (2.16c) for ψ , and finally Eq. (2.16b) for τ . To find the variables on the left boundaries, we first solve Eq. (2.16b) for ψ , then Eq. (2.16c) for φ , and then Eq. (2.16a) for τ . Next, we consider the combined stencil in 4(b) for descending bands. To determine the values of the variables on the boundaries on the right, one first solves Eq. (2.16a) for φ , then Eq. (2.16b) for τ , and finally Eq. (2.16c) for ψ . To find the values on the left boundaries, we first solve Eq. (2.16a) for τ , then Eq. (2.16b) for ψ , and then Eq. (2.16c) for φ .

Let us use Proposition 3 to construct a well-posed IVP for the higher GD system 2.16. In Fig. 5(a) we squeeze the combined stencil with lines that have direction $\mathbf{s} = (2, 1)$.

The initial value configuration in Fig. 5(b) leads to the following 10-dimensional mapping

$$(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_2, z_3, z_4),$$
(5.1)

where y_3 is determined by taking n = 0 in

$$ax_{n+2}y_{n+1} + bx_n y_{n+3} = (a+b)x_{n+3}y_n,$$
(5.2a)

after which z_4 can be found from, with n = 0,

$$ay_{n+2}z_{n+2} + dy_n z_{n+4} = (a+d)y_{n+3}z_{n+1},$$
(5.2b)

and then x_4 is the solution of, with n = 0,

$$ax_{n+2}z_{n+3} + cx_{n+4}z_{n+1} = (a+c)x_{n+1}z_{n+4}.$$
(5.2c)

Here *x*, *y*, *z* denote the reductions of τ , φ , ψ , and

 $a = p - q, b = q - \omega_1(p), c = q - \omega_2(p), d = q - \omega_3(p).$

The inverse of the map Eq. (5.1) is given by $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_0, y_1, y_2, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (x_{-1}, x_0, x_1, x_2, y_{-1}, y_1, y_2, z_0, z_1, z_2)$, where we obtain z_0 from (5.2c) (n = -1), y_{-1} from (5.2b) (n = -1), and x_{-1} from (5.2a) (n = -1), in that order.

Proposition 4. The mapping (5.1) has the Laurent property.

Proof. To aid the proof we shift the index on the *y*-variable, so that the map becomes

 $\mathfrak{m} : (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_2, y_3, y_4, z_2, z_3, z_4),$



0

• 0

ο×

(a) Combined stencil for ascending straight band initial values



(a) Squeezing the combined stencil from Figure 4a



X

Fig. 4. Combined stencils which can be used to construct Cauchy problems for the higher GD system.



(b) Labelling the initial values, for evolution to the right. Values on the same line have the same label. Values with a question mark are initial values, they need to be calculated.

Fig. 5. The (2,1)-periodic reduction of the system (2.16) gives rise to a 10-dimensional mapping.

with $ax_2y_2 + bx_0y_4 = (a+b)x_3y_1$, $ax_2z_3 + cx_4z_1 = (a+c)x_1z_4$, $ay_3z_2 + dy_1z_4 = (a+d)y_4z_1$. We define, for all *i*,

 $\mathfrak{m}^{i} \left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}, z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3} \right) =$ $\left(x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, x_{i+3}, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}, y_{i+3}, z_{i+1}, z_{i+2}, z_{i+3} \right)$

and
$$x_i = \frac{p_i^2}{q_i^x}$$
, $y_i = \frac{p_i^2}{q_i^y}$, $z_i = \frac{p_i^2}{q_i^z}$, with $gcd(p_i^x, q_i^x) = gcd(p_i^y, q_i^y) = gcd(p_i^z, q_i^z) = 1$. The first few numerators are

 $p_4^y = -ax_2y_2 + (a+b)x_3y_1$

- $p_4^z = -abx_0y_3z_2 a(a+d)x_2y_2z_1 + (a+d)(a+b)x_3y_1z_1$
- $$\begin{split} p_4^x &= -ab\left(a+c\right)x_0x_1y_3z_2 abdx_0x_2y_1z_3 a\left(a+d\right)\left(a+c\right)x_1x_2y_2z_1 \\ &+ \left(a+d\right)\left(a+c\right)\left(a+b\right)x_1x_3y_1z_1 \end{split}$$
- $p_5^y = -ab(a+c)(a+b)x_0x_1y_2y_3z_2 abd(a+b)x_0x_2y_1y_2z_3$ - $abcdx_0x_3y_1y_3z_1 - a(a+d)(a+c)(a+b)x_1x_2y_2^2z_1$ + $(a+b)^2(a+d)(a+c)x_1x_3y_1y_2z_1$

$$p_5^z = -ab(a+d)(a+c)(a+b)x_0x_1y_2y_3z_2^2 - abd(a+d)(a+b)$$

× $x_0x_2y_1y_2z_2z_3 - abcd(a+d)x_0x_3y_1y_3z_1z_2$

- $+ a^{2}bcdx_{1}x_{2}y_{1}y_{2}z_{1}z_{3} a(a+d)^{2}(a+c)(a+b)x_{1}x_{2}y_{2}^{2}z_{1}z_{2}$ $- abcd(a+b)x_{1}x_{3}y_{1}^{2}z_{1}z_{3}$
- + $(a + d)^{2} (a + b)^{2} (a + c) x_{1} x_{3} y_{1} y_{2} z_{1} z_{2}$

```
- abcd (a + d) (a + c) x_0 x_2 x_3 y_1 y_3 z_1 z_2 + a^2 bcd (a + c) x_1 x_2^2 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_3
```

```
- a (a + d)^{2} (a + c)^{2} (a + b) x_{1} x_{2}^{2} y_{2}^{2} z_{1} z_{2}
```

```
- abcd (a + c) (a + b) x_1 x_2 x_3 y_1^2 z_1 z_3 + (a + d)^2 (a + c)^2 (a + b)^2
```

$$\times x_1 x_2 x_3 y_1 y_2 z_1 z_2 + a^2 bcd (a+d) x_1 x_2 x_3 y_2^2 z_1^2$$

$$- abcd (a + d) (a + b) x_1 x_3^2 y_1 y_2 z_1^2$$

÷

The denominators of the first five iterates are: $q_4^y = bx_0, \ q_5^y = b^2 c dx_0 y_1 z_1 x_1, \ q_6^y = b^3 c^2 d^2 x_0^2 y_1 z_1 x_1 y_2 z_2 x_2,$

$$\begin{array}{l} q_7^y = b^5 c^3 d^3 x_0^3 y_1^2 z_1^2 x_1^2 y_2 z_2 x_2 y_3 z_3 x_3, \\ q_8^y = b^7 c^5 d^5 z_1^3 y_1^3 x_0^3 x_1^3 y_2^2 z_2^2 x_2^2 y_3 z_3 x_3, q_4^z = b d x_0 y_1, q_5^z = b^2 c d^2 x_0 y_1 z_1 x_1 y_2, \\ q_6^z = b^3 c^2 d^3 x_0^2 y_1^2 z_1 x_1 y_2 z_2 x_2 y_3, \\ q_7^z = b^5 c^3 d^5 x_0^3 x_3 z_3 y_3 x_2 z_2 y_2^2 x_1^2 z_1^3, q_8^z = b^7 c^5 d^7 x_1^3 z_1^3 y_1^3 x_0^4 y_2^3 z_2^2 x_2^2 y_3^2 z_3 x_3, \\ q_4^x = b c d x_0 y_1 z_1, q_5^x = b^2 c^2 d^2 x_0 y_1 z_1 x_1 y_2 z_2, \\ q_6^x = b^3 c^3 d^3 x_0^2 y_1^2 z_1^2 x_1 y_2 z_2 x_2 y_3 z_3, q_7^x = b^5 c^5 d^5 y_1^3 x_0^3 x_1^2 y_2^2 z_2^2 x_2 y_3 z_3 x_3^2, \\ q_8^x = b^7 c^7 d^7 y_2^3 x_1^3 z_1^3 y_1^4 x_0^4 z_2^3 x_2^2 y_3^2 z_3^2 x_3. \end{array}$$

We have verified, using MAPLE,²⁶ that, for i = 5, ..., 8, $gcd(x_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(x_q^{P}, y_i^{P}) = gcd(x_q^{P}, z_i^{P}) = gcd(y_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(y_q^{P}, y_i^{P}) = gcd(y_q^{P}, z_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, x_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, y_i^{P}) = gcd(z_q^{P}, z_i^{P}) = 1$. From this, and the fact that the denominators $x_i^{q}, y_i^{q}, z_i^{q}, i = 4, ..., 8$ are monomial, by Ref. 23, Theorem 2, for all *i*, the functions x_i, y_i, z_i are Laurent polynomials in the initial values. \Box

Notice that the denominators do not depend on *a*. Hence, for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \{1, -1\}$ the sequences x, y, z are integer sequences. For example, taking a = -2, $z_1 = -1$, and setting all other initial values to 1, the values for x_n, y_n, z_n with $-6 \le n \le 12$ are respectively

x = 67177, 4209, -255, 137, -15, 5, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 5, -7, 49, -767, 2905, -5519, 1021381, 22876241

y = 22417, 169, 129, -47, 9, -3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -3, 17, -7, -127, 5521, -15847, -89667, -54620095

z = -74567, 1079, -631, 73, -5, -7, 1, -1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 9, -57, 313, -647, 13835, 312009, 3909457.

This provides an example of a set of three integer sequences generated by a coupled system of bilinear recurrences. These sequences satisfy

many more relations. For example, from Eq. (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &a^2 \left(x_{n-2} x_{n-1} y_n y_{n-3} - x_n x_{n-3} y_{n-2} y_{n-1} \right) + (a+d) \left(a+c \right) \left(x_n x_{n-4} y_n y_{n-2} - x_{n-3} x_{n-1} y_{n-3} y_{n+1} \right) + cd \left(x_{n-3} x_{n+2} y_{n-3} y_{n-2} - x_n x_{n-1} y_n y_{n-5} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and Eq. (Eq. (2.19)) yields

$$\begin{aligned} &a^{3} \left(x_{n}^{2} x_{n+1}^{2} x_{n-2} x_{n-3} - x_{n-1}^{2} x_{n+2} x_{n+1} x_{n-2}^{2} \right) - (a+d) (a+c) (a+b) x_{n+1} \\ &\times x_{n-2} \left(x_{n+2} x_{n}^{2} x_{n-4} - x_{n-1}^{2} x_{n+3} x_{n-3} \right) - bcd \left(x_{n-1} x_{n-2} x_{n-3} \left(x_{n} x_{n+4} x_{n-1} + x_{n+3} x_{n-2} x_{n+2} \right) - x_{n+2} x_{n+1} x_{n} \left(x_{n} x_{n-5} x_{n-1} + x_{n-3} x_{n+1} x_{n-4} \right) \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which also holds for the y and z sequences.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Acknowledgements

The paper was initiated while FWN was an affiliate of the Sydney Mathematical Research Institute (SMRI), and he is grateful for its support. He also would like to thank the Department of Mathematical and Physical Sciences of La Trobe University for its hospitality during this visit. He was supported by EPSRC grant EP/007290/1 when most of the work was done. We are also grateful to Michael Somos for pointing out that the sequence (4.2) can be obtained from the bilinear recursion (4.3).

References

- Nijhoff FW, Papageorgiou V, Capel HW, Quispel GRW. The lattice Gel'fand-Dikii hierarchy. *Inverse Problems*. 1992;8:597–621.
- Nijhoff FW. Discrete Painlevé equations and symmetry reduction on the lattice. In: Bobenko AI, Seiler R, eds. Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics. Oxford University Press; 1999:209–234.
- Tongas AS, Nijhoff FW. The Boussinesq integrable system. Compatible lattice and continuum structures. Glasg Math J. 2005;47A:205–219.

- Walker A. Similarity Reductions and Integrable Lattice Equations (Ph.D. thesis). University of Leeds; 2001 http://etheses.whiterose.ac.uk/7190/.
- Hietarinta J. Boussinesq-like multicomponent lattice equations and multidimensional consistency. J Phys A. 2011;44:165204.
- Hietarinta J, Joshi N, Nijhoff FW. Discrete Systems and Integrability. Cambridge University Press; 2016.
- Zhang D-J, Zhao S-L, Nijhoff FW. Direct linearization of extended lattice BSQ systems. Stud Appl Math. 2012;129:220–248.
- Nijhoff FW, Sun Y-Y, Zhang D-J. Elliptic solutions of Boussinesq type lattice equations and the elliptic Nth root of unity. Comm Math Phys. 2022. http: //dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00220-022-04567-8.
- van der Kamp PH, Quispel GRW, Zhang D-J. Duality for discrete integrable systems II. J Phys A. 2018;51:365202.
- Quispel GRW, Capel HW, Roberts JAG. Duality for discrete integrable systems. J Phys A: Math Gen. 2005;38:3965–3980.
- Spicer PE, Nijhoff FW, van der Kamp PH. Higher analogues of the discrete-time Toda equation and the quotient-difference algorithm. *Nonlinearity*. 2011;24:2229–2263.
- 12. van der Kamp PH. Initial value problems for lattice equations. J Phys A. 2009;42:404019.
- Adler VE, Bobenko AI, Suris YuB. Classification of integrable discrete equations of octahedron type. Int Math Res Not. 2012;2012(8):1822–1889.
- Ramani A, Grammaticos B, Satsuma J. Integrability of multidimensional discrete systems. *Phys Lett A*. 1992;169:323–328.
- Nijhoff FW, Ramani A, Grammaticos B, Ohta Y. On discrete Painlevé equations associated with the lattice KdV systems and the Painlevé VI equation. *Stud Appl Math.* 2001;106:109–123.
- Hietarinta J, Zhang D-J. Multisoliton solutions to the lattice Boussinesq equation. J Math Phys. 2010;51:033505.
- Tela GY, Zhao S-L, Zhang D-J. On the fourth-order lattice gel'fand-dikii equations. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom Methods Appl. 2023;19. 30 pages.
- Maruno K, Quispel GRW. Construction of integrals of higher-order mappings. J Phys Soc Japan. 2006;75:123001.
- van der Kamp PH, Zhang D-J, Quispel GRW. On the relation between the dual AKP equation and an equation by King and Schief, and its N-soliton solution, arXiv:1912.02299 [nlin.SI].
- King AD, Schief WK. Bianchi hypercubes and a geometric unification of the Hirota and Miwa equations. Int Math Res Not. 2015;2015:6842–6878.
- Hydon PE, Mansfield EL. A variational complex for difference equations. Found Comput Math. 2004;4:187–217.
- Ormerod CM, van der Kamp PH, Quispel GRW. Discrete Painlevé equations and their Lax pairs as reductions of integrable lattice equations. J Phys A. 2013;46:095204, (22pp).
- Hamad K, Hone ANW, van der Kamp PH, Quispel GRW. QRT maps and related Laurent systems. Adv in Appl Math. 2018;96:216–248.
- 24. Somos M. private communication.
- Hamad K, van der Kamp PH. From discrete integrable equations to Laurent recurrences. J Difference Equ Appl. 2016;22:789–816.
- 26. Maple. Maplesoft, a division of Waterloo Maple Inc., Waterloo, Ontario, 2023.